

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,953		03/12/2004	Andre Schulthess	5010-1009	7714
466	7590	03/23/2006		EXAM	INER
YOUNG	& THOMI	PSON	LONEY, DONALD J		
745 SOU'	ГН 23RD S1	REET			
2ND FLC	OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLING'	ΓΟΝ, VA	22202	1772		

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/798,953	SCHULTHESS, ANDRE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald Loney	1772
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory por Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. repty be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>(</u>	09 January 2006.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		(
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar prection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/798,953 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group IV in the reply filed on January 9, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 14 and 18 differ only in intended use. The tool of claim 11 can not produce another object than that of claim 14 and conversely the object can not be formed of another tool. This is not found persuasive because claim 18 is drawn to a hanger with a window not contained in claim 14. The object could be embossed per cited US Pat. No. 6514597 in order to form a thinned section as recited.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Strobel et al (6514597).

Application/Control Number: 10/798,953 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

Strobel et al discloses a film of 2-250 micrometers (column 4, line 55-60) that has embossments (i.e. thinned portion per applicant's claims). In column 8, lines 58-66, the film is disclosed as 50 µm thick. This thickness alone reads upon the thickness in claims 18, 19, and 22 (i.e. <100 µm, >20µm, >30µm). The examiner deems claim 21 met since the thickness can be reduced from greater than zero to 90% of the thickness of the film. Therefore, the 2-250µm film, having embossments as disclosed in the range of from greater than zero to 90% of the thickness of the film would have a thinned area of 25µm (250µm minus 250µmx0.9) to about the entire thickness of the film (i.e. just less than 250µm) which would encompass the range of 70-80µm of claim 21. The examiner notes the claims have no other structure in order to distinguish from the embossed film of Strobel et al.

4. Claims 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hasegawa et al (6127438).

Hasagawa et al discloses a polymeric film with a thickness in the range of 1-500µm (column 5, lines 60-65). This thickness totally encompasses the applicant range of 20µm (claim 19) to 100µm (claim 18). The examiner notes the claims have no other structure in order to distinguish from the film in Hasagawa et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,953

Art Unit: 1772

- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. Claims 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Chamberlain et al (4975589) or Velbeck et al (5177363).

Both references teach a dosimeter hanger with a window portion within the applicant's recited range. Refer to column 3, lines 38-42 and column 6, lines, lines 11-23 in Chamberlain et al. Refer to column 4, lines 16-31 in Velbeck et al. The primary references differ from the instant claims in that window is not an integral portion or the hanger. It is a separate film.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to the primary references to form the hanger of an integral structure motivated by the fact to make integral is within ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 2144.04 V B.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri. 8AM-4PM, flex schedule.

Art Unit: 1772

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

DJL:D.Loney 03/20/06